	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/088,276	-	TOKUNAGA ET	AL.
	Examiner	•	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SA	CKEY	1626	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):			
(1) EBENEZER SACKEY.	(3)			
(2) JOHN B. ALEXANDER.	(4)		•	. *
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2004</u> .		1		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's	representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 11.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NONE</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached	l. g)⊡ was not rea	ched. h)□ N/	A	
Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: the examiner informetypographical error in claim 11 and also in the amendment (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where rallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE	ed applicants attorned and to the specificate the specificate and	ey, John Alexan tion filed 09/30/ e examiner agre adments that wo NCLUDE THE	der, the need to the need to the need would render the SUBSTANCE	der the claims claims
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEME Summary of Record of Interview requirements on revers	OR THE MAILING I	DATE OF THIS FANCE OF THE	INTERVIEW	SUMMARY
•				
				•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's signa	ature, if require	d